

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

|                                 |   |                         |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| ALEICHA BOLTON,                 | ) |                         |
|                                 | ) | CASE NO. 1:11CV02301    |
| Plaintiff,                      | ) |                         |
|                                 | ) |                         |
| v.                              | ) | JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON |
|                                 | ) |                         |
| COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY | ) |                         |
| ADMINISTRATION,                 | ) |                         |
|                                 | ) |                         |
| Defendant.                      | ) | <b><u>ORDER</u></b>     |

In the above-captioned case, an Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) denied Plaintiff Aleicha Bolton’s applications for Disability Insurance Benefits and Supplemental Social Security Income after a hearing. That decision became the final determination of Defendant Commissioner of Social Security Administration when the Appeals Council denied Plaintiff’s request to review the ALJ’s decision. Plaintiff subsequently sought judicial review of Defendant’s decision, and this Court referred the case to Magistrate Judge Kathleen Burke for preparation of a Report and Recommendation pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636](#). The magistrate judge, after reviewing the briefs submitted by the parties, the record, and the applicable law, recommended that Defendant’s decision be affirmed. [ECF No. 16](#).

[28 U.S.C. § 636](#) provides that a party may serve and file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served with the recommendations of the magistrate judge. Failure to object within this time waives a party’s right to appeal the district court’s judgment. [Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145, 106 S. Ct. 466, 88 L. Ed. 2d \(1986\)](#). Moreover, 28 U.S.C. § 636 does not require a district judge to review a magistrate judge’s report to which no objections

(1:11CV02301)

are filed. *Id.* at 149.

The Report and Recommendation was issued and filed on November 19, 2012.

Although the cutoff to file written objections was December 3, 2012, Plaintiff has not filed any objections. Moreover, the Court finds that the Report and Recommendation is supported by the record, and agrees with the magistrate judge's recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation, and AFFIRMS the final decision of the Commissioner.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 28, 2012  
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson  
Benita Y. Pearson  
United States District Judge